

EXHIBIT 22

Email attachment excerpted and formatted for legibility

From: Pierce, Kellie [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=0150EF14C7A24CB1A0E08EC9FCB06424-PIERCE, KEL]
Sent: 6/28/2019 8:37:58 PM
To: Fu, Ikong [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=f7c378044ca141209da12d5a5874cff4-Fu, Ikong]; Fujii, Ross [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=f2007a77afcc470289c878f02563304e-Fujii, Ross]
CC: Hansen, Jim [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d23033ce6fe14dea908e533ef92fbca3-Hansen, Jim]; Brown, Timothy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=a1bcd95116e84d6692dd89f9d55c5b7a-Brown, Timo]; Johnson, Rani [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=0ee57945f15e47b3abaa99a59170ad3f-Johnson, Ra]
Subject: FedRAMP - Security & Compliance Preliminary Review
Attachments: FedRAMP_Security_Controls_Baseline as of 06282019.xlsx

Good afternoon,

I've performed a preliminary review of the 325 FedRAMP Moderate controls; my takeaway is that 94% (304) of the controls will require a moderate to significant level of effort to implement.

Also, I would like to share that the work will be required from these groups within SolarWinds: Product Management, Engineering, SRE/DevOps, Facilities and DOIT.

High level based on Green/Yellow/Red:

Program/Practice in place	21	6%
Program/Practice <i>may</i> be in place but requires detailed review	106	33%
No program/practice in place	198	61%
TOTALS	325	100%

Breakdown by Control type and Green/Yellow/Red:

		Program/Practice in place	Program/Practice <i>may</i> be in place but requires detailed review	No program/practice in place	
CONTROLS					Total
AC	ACCESS CONTROL	2	18	23	43
AT	AWARENESS AND TRAINING	0	5	0	5
AU	AUDIT AND ACCOUNTABILITY	0	1	18	19
CA	SECURITY ASSESSMENT AND AUTHORIZATION	2	3	10	15
CM	CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT	1	7	18	26
CP	CONTINGENCY PLANNING	1	19	4	24
IA	IDENTIFICATION AND AUTHENTICATION	0	7	20	27
IR	INCIDENT RESPONSE	13	3	2	18
MA	MAINTENANCE	0	1	10	11
MP	MEDIA PROTECTION	0	0	10	10

PE	PHYSICAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	0	14	6	20
PL	PLANNING	0	4	2	6
PS	PERSONNEL SECURITY	0	0	9	9
RA	RISK ASSESSMENT	0	6	4	10
SA	SYSTEM AND SERVICES ACQUISITION	2	8	12	22
SC	SYSTEM AND COMMUNICATIONS PROTECTION	0	3	29	32
SI	SYSTEM AND INFORMATION INTEGRITY	0	7	21	28
	TOTAL	21	106	198	325

Please let me know if I can provide any detailed information.

Thank you,
Kellie



Kellie Pierce | Security & Compliance Sr. Program Manager | **SolarWinds**

Office: 512.498.6248

DOCUMENT PRODUCED IN NATIVE FORMAT

Moderate Baseline Controls

	A	B	C	D	E	F	J	S
	AC-02 (09)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-2 (6)	ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT INACTIVITY LOGOUT	The organization requires that users log out when [Assignment: organization-defined time-period of expected inactivity or description of when to log out]. Supplemental Guidance: Related control: SC-23.		Product	KP 6/27: We have an access control policy for the organization. It would need to be updated with any specific gaps from FedRAMP and/or other certification requirements.
9	AC-02 (07)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-2 (7)	ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT ROLE-BASED SCHEMES	The organization: (a) Establishes and administers privileged user accounts in accordance with a role-based access scheme that organizes allowed information system access and privileges into roles; (b) Monitors privileged role assignments; and (c) Takes [Assignment: organization-defined actions] when privileged role assignments are no longer appropriate. Supplemental Guidance: Privileged roles are organization-defined roles assigned to individuals that allow those individuals to perform certain security-relevant functions that ordinary users are not authorized to perform. These privileged roles include, for example, the organization only permits the use of shared/group accounts that meet [Assignment: organization-defined conditions for establishing shared/group accounts].		Product	KP 6/27: We have an access control policy for the organization. It would need to be updated with any specific gaps from FedRAMP and/or other certification requirements.
10	AC-02 (09)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-2 (8)	ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF SHARED GROUPS / ACCOUNTS			Process	KP 6/27: We have an access control policy for the organization. It would need to be updated with any specific gaps from FedRAMP and/or other certification requirements.
11	AC-02 (10)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-2 (10)	ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT SHARED / GROUP CREDENTIAL TERMINATION	The information system terminates shared/group account credentials when members leave the group.		Product	KP 6/27: This is connected to access control policy. If systems are not integrated with AD, thereby termination of groups and/or permissions are manual for many system.
12	AC-02 (12)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-2 (12)	ACCOUNT MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING / ATYPICAL USAGE	The organization: (a) Monitors information system accounts for [Assignment: organization-defined atypical use], and (b) Reports atypical usage of information system accounts to [Assignment: organization-defined personnel or roles]. Supplemental Guidance: Atypical usage includes, for example, accessing information systems at certain times of the day and from locations that are not consistent with the normal usage patterns of individuals working in organizations. Related control: CA-7.		Product	KP 6/27: GAP. Currently there is no program for this access control.
13	AC-03	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-3	ACCESS ENFORCEMENT	The information system enforces approved authorizations for logical access to information and system resources in accordance with applicable access control policies. Supplemental Guidance: Access control policies (e.g., identity-based policies, role-based policies) and access enforcement mechanisms (e.g., access control lists, access control matrices, cryptography) control access between active entities or subjects (i.e., users or processes acting on behalf of users) and passive entities or objects (e.g., devices, files, records, domains) in the information systems. In addition to enforcing authorized access at the information system level and recognizing that unauthorized access attempts can occur, information systems can also be employed at the application and service level to provide increased information security. Related controls: AC-2, AC-4, AC-5, AC-6, AC-16, AC-17, AC-18, AC-19, AC-20, AC-21, AC-22, AU-9, CM-5, CM-6, CM-11, MA-3, MA-4, MA-5, PE-3.		Product	KP 6/27: This will require additional investigation, as I believe we would need to determine not only what we are doing but also that the 3rd party systems used by the product.
14	AC-04	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-4	INFORMATION FLOW ENFORCEMENT	The information system enforces approved authorizations for controlling the flow of information within the system and between interconnected systems based on [Assignment: organization-defined information flow control policies]. Supplemental Guidance: Information flow control regulates where information is allowed to travel within an information system and between information systems (as opposed to who is allowed to access the information) and without explicit regard to subsequent access to that information. Flow control restrictions include, for example, keeping report-controlled information from being transmitted in the clear to the Internet, blocking outside traffic that claims to be from within the organization, restricting web requests to the Internet that are not from the internal web proxy server, and limiting information transfers between organizations based on data structures and content. Transferring information between information systems representing different security domains with different security policies introduces risk that such transfers violate one or more domain security policies. In such situations, information owners/stewards provide guidance at designated policy enforcement points between interconnected systems. Organizations consider mandating specific architectural solutions when required to enforce specific security policies. Enforcement includes, for example: (i) prohibiting information transfers between interconnected systems (i.e., allowing access only); (ii) employing hardware mechanisms to enforce one-way information flows; and (iii) implementing trustworthy mechanisms to reassign security attributes and security labels. Organizations commonly employ information flow control policies and enforcement mechanisms to control the flow of information between designated sources and destinations (e.g., networks, individuals, and devices) within information systems and between interconnected systems. Flow control is based on the characteristics of the information and/or the information path. Enforcement occurs, for example, in boundary protection devices (e.g., gateways, routers, guards, encrypted tunnels, firewalls) that employ rule sets or establish configuration settings that restrict information system services, provide a packet-filtering capability based on header information, or message-filtering capability based on message content (e.g., implementing keyword searches or using document characteristics). Organizations also consider the trustworthiness of filtering/inspection mechanisms (i.e., hardware, firmware, and software components) that are critical to information flow enforcement. Control enhancements 3 through 22 primarily address cross-domain solutions which have one or more advanced capabilities. While these capabilities are not available in all cross-domain products, for example, high-assurance products, such capabilities are generally not available in commercial off-the-shelf information technology products. Related controls: AC-3, AC-17, AC-19, AC-21, CM-4, CM-7, SA-8, SC-2, SC-5, SC-7, SC-18.		Product	KP 6/27: Agree with PM. This is a gap.
15	AC-04 (21)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-4 (21)	INFORMATION FLOW ENFORCEMENT SEPARATION OF INFORMATION	The information system separates information flow logically or physically using [Assignment: organization-defined mechanisms and/or techniques] to accomplish [Assignment: organization-defined required separations by types of information]. Supplemental Guidance: Enforcing the separation of information flows by type can enhance protection by ensuring that information is not commingled while in transit and by enabling flow control by transmission paths perhaps not otherwise achievable. Types of separable information include, for example, inbound and outbound communications traffic, service requests and responses, and information of differing security categories.		Product	KP 6/27: No comment
16	AC-05	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-5	SEPARATION OF DUTIES	The organization: a. Separates [Assignment: organization-defined duties of individuals]; b. Documents separation of duties of individuals; and c. Defines information system access authorizations to support separation of duties. Supplemental Guidance: Separation of duties addresses the potential for abuse of authorized privileges and helps to reduce the risk of malevolent activity without collusion. Separation of duties includes, for example: (i) dividing mission functions and information system support functions among different individuals and/or roles; (ii) conducting information system support functions with different individuals (e.g., system management, programming, configuration management, quality assurance and testing, and network security); and (iii) ensuring security personnel administering access control functions do not also administer audit functions. Related controls: AC-3, AC-6, PE-3, PE-4, PS-2. Control Enhancements: None. References: None.		Process	KP 6/27: This is a product question as well - we have seen significant struggles with this in the past. For the SOX system, we have separation of duties in some cases, but not we have a mitigating control. Not all of the cloud products are in scope of GDSK.
17								

Moderate Baseline Controls

A	B	C	D	E	F	J	S
	AC-06	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-6	LEAST PRIVILEGE	The organization employs the principle of least privilege, allowing only authorized accesses for users (or processes acting on behalf of users) which are necessary to accomplish assigned tasks in accordance with organizational missions and business functions. Supplemental Guidance: Organizations employ least privilege for specific duties and information systems. The principle of least privilege is also applied to information system processes, ensuring that the processes operate at privilege levels no higher than necessary to accomplish required organizational missions/business functions. Organizations consider the creation of additional processes, roles, and information system accounts as necessary, to achieve least privilege. Organizations also apply least privilege to the development, implementation, and operation of organizational information systems. Related controls: AC-2, AC-3, AC-5, CM-6, CM-7, PL-2. References: None.	Process	KP 6/27: This is included in the Access Security Guidelines document. An audit of this is in place has never been performed.
16							
18	AC-06 (01)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-6 (1)	LEAST PRIVILEGE AUTHORIZED ACCESS TO SECURITY FUNCTIONS	The organization explicitly authorizes access to [Assignment: organization-defined security functions (deployed in hardware, software, and firmware) and security-relevant information]. Supplemental Guidance: Security functions include, for example, establishing system accounts, configuring access authorizations (i.e., permissions, privileges), setting events to be audited, and setting intrusion detection parameters. Security-relevant information includes, for example, filtering rules for routers/firewalls, cryptographic key management information, configuration parameters for security services, and access control lists. Explicitly authorized personnel include, for example, security administrators, system and network administrators, system security officers, system maintenance personnel, system programmers, and other privileged users. Related controls: AC-17, AC-18, AC-19.	Process	KP 6/27: We have no explicit authorization policy, nor is this included in the Access Security Guidelines document. An audit of this is in place has never been performed.
19	AC-06 (02)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-6 (2)	LEAST PRIVILEGE NON-PRIVILEGED ACCESS FOR NON-SECURITY FUNCTIONS	The organization requires that users of information system accounts, or roles, with access to [Assignment: organization-defined security functions or security-relevant information], use non-privileged accounts or roles, when accessing non-security functions. Supplemental Guidance: This control enhancement limits exposure when operating from within privileged accounts or roles. The inclusion of roles addresses situations where organizations implement access control policies such as role-based access control and where a change of role provides the same degree of assurance in the change of access authorizations for both the user and all processes acting on behalf of the user as would be provided by a change between a privileged and non-privileged account. Related control: PL-4.	Process	KP 6/27: This is included in the Access Security Guidelines document. An audit of this is in place has never been performed.
20	AC-06 (05)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-6 (5)	LEAST PRIVILEGE ACCOUNTS	The organization restricts privileged accounts on the information system to [Assignment: organization-defined personnel or roles]. Supplemental Guidance: Privileged accounts, including super-user accounts, are typically described as system administrator for various types of commercial off-the-shelf operating systems. Restricting privileged accounts to specific personnel or roles prevents day-to-day users from having access to privileged information/functions. Organizations may differentiate in the application of this control enhancement between allowed privileges for local accounts and for domain accounts provided organizations retain the ability to control information system configurations for key security parameters and as otherwise necessary to sufficiently mitigate risk. Related control: CM-6.	Process	KP 6/27: We have no explicit authorization policy, nor is this included in the Access Security Guidelines document. An audit of this is in place has never been performed.
21	AC-06 (09)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-6 (9)	LEAST PRIVILEGE NO USE OF PRIVILEGED FUNCTIONS	The information system audits the execution of privileged functions. Supplemental Guidance: Misuse of privileged functions, either intentionally or unintentionally by authorized users, or by unauthorized external entities that have compromised information system accounts, is a serious and ongoing concern and can have significant adverse impacts on organizations. Auditing the use of privileged functions is one way to detect such misuse, and is doing so, help mitigate the risk from insider threats and the advanced persistent threat (APT). Related control: AU-2.	Product	KP 6/27: Agree with PM. There is currently no audit.
22	AC-06 (10)	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-6 (10)	LEAST PRIVILEGE PROHIBIT NON-PRIVILEGED USERS FROM EXECUTING	The information system prevents non-privileged users from executing privileged functions to include disabling, circumventing, or altering implemented security safeguards/countermeasures. Supplemental Guidance: Privileged functions include, for example, establishing information system accounts, performing system integrity checks, or administering cryptographic key management activities. Non-privileged users are individuals that do not possess appropriate authorizations. Circumventing intrusion detection and prevention mechanisms or malicious code protection mechanisms are examples of privileged functions that require protection from non-privileged users.	Product	KP 6/27: This has not been tested/audited, nor is a policy documented.
23	AC-07	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-7	UNSUCCESSFUL LOGIN ATTEMPTS	The information system: a. Enforces a limit of [Assignment: organization-defined number] consecutive invalid login attempts by a user during a [Assignment: organization-defined time period]; and b. Automatically [Selection: locks the account/node for an [Assignment: organization-defined time period]; locks the account/node until released by an administrator; delays next login prompt according to [Assignment: organization-defined delay algorithm]] when the maximum number of unsuccessful attempts is exceeded. Supplemental Guidance: This control applies regardless of whether the login occurs via a local or network connection. Due to the potential for denial of service, automatic lockouts initiated by information systems are usually temporary and automatically release after a predetermined time period established by organizations. If a delay algorithm is selected, organizations may choose to employ different algorithms for different information system components based on the capabilities of those components. Responses to unsuccessful login attempts may be implemented at both the operating system and the application levels. Related controls: AC-2, AC-9, AC-14, IA-5.	Product	KP 6/27: Some IT systems have this enabled but it is not tested/audited, nor is a policy documented across the products.
24	AC-08	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-8	SYSTEM USE NOTIFICATION	The information system: a. Displays to users [Assignment: organization-defined system use notification message or banner] before granting access to the system that provides privacy and security notices consistent with applicable federal laws, Executive Orders, directives, policies, regulations, standards, and guidance and states that: 1. Users are accessing a U.S. Government information system; 2. Information system usage may be monitored, recorded, and subject to audit; 3. Unauthorized use of the information system is prohibited and subject to criminal and civil penalties; and 4. Unauthorized disclosure of information is prohibited and subject to criminal and civil penalties; and The information system limits the number of concurrent sessions for each [Assignment: organization-defined account and/or account type] to [Assignment: organization-defined number].	Product	KP 6/27: Agree with PM, this is a gap.
25	AC-10	ACCESS CONTROL	AC-10	CONCURRENT SESSION CONTROL	Supplemental Guidance: Organizations may define the maximum number of concurrent sessions for information system accounts globally by account type (e.g., privileged user, non-privileged user, domain, specific application), by account, or a combination. For example, organizations may limit the number of concurrent sessions for system administrators or individuals working in particularly sensitive domains or mission-critical applications. This control addresses concurrent sessions for information system accounts and does not address concurrent sessions by single users via multiple system accounts. Control Enhancements: None. References: None.	Product	KP 6/27: Agree with PM, this is a gap.
26							

